


Quality Evaluation Criteria for Enquiry: E2372GXNOURR Q4/L3 - Batch 1 Plastic

| Requirement             | Criteria  | Deliverable  | Scoring Methodology   | Weighting | Rating   | % Rating | % Score | [ Supplier Name ] Response | Eskom Comments |
|-------------------------|---|--|---|-----------|----------|----------|---------|----------------------------|----------------|
| 1. QUALITY REQUIREMENTS | A Quality Assurance Program that meets the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 as identified in 238-769T. | The returnable is a document or method statement describing the supplier Quality Programme that provide a description of the processes and supporting information that reflects how processes are managed and work/operation is prepared, reviewed, carried out, recorded, assessed and improved . Examples of processes to be described can include Resource Selection, Quality Control, Receipt Inspection, Purchasing, etc. | 100% - Conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3<br>75% - Minor gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3<br>50% - Major gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3<br>0% - No documents submitted  | 30%       |          | 0%       | 0.0%    |                            |                |
|                         | Manufacturer Quality Control Process Documents  | Returnable is an example of a QCP or Quality Project Plan for a similar service or product, identifying sequential operations and indicating inspection and test points (hold and/or witness points) and areas where reports are required .  | 100% - Quality Control Process procedure and Quality Control Plan with all intervention points and verification of completed steps.<br>75% - Quality Control Process procedure and Quality Control Plan with no intervention points identified.<br>50% - Quality Control Process procedure only submitted.<br>0% - No documents submitted   | 30%       |          | 0%       | 0.0%    |                            |                |
|                         | Control of nonconforming product or service and application for concession.                         | Tenderer to submit procedure, process document and records demonstrating implementation of Non-conformance and Corrective Action Process.  | 100% - Supplier Method Statement and/or Non-conformance and Corrective Action procedure and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions.<br>75% - Supplier Method Statement and/or Non-conformance and Corrective Action procedure and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation.<br>50% - Method Statement or documented procedure that defines processes followed for dealing with nonconforming product/service.<br>0% - No documents submitted | 30%       |          | 0%       | 0.0%    |                            |                |
|                         | Supplier Monitoring Process, including Source Verification and Receipt Inspection.                  | Tenderer to submit procedure, process document and records demonstrating implementation of Monitoring Processes.   | 100% - Supplier Method Statement and/or Monitoring procedure and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Source Verification or Receipt Inspection.<br>75% - Supplier Method Statement and/or Monitoring procedure and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation.<br>50% - Method Statement or documented procedure that defines processes followed for verification and inspection of product.<br>0% - No documents submitted  | 10%       |          | 0%       | 0.0%    |                            |                |
|                         | TOTAL WEIGHTING   |  |   | 100%      | NOT MEET | 0%       |         |                            |                |
| Final Analysis          |   |  |   |           |          |          |         |                            |                |
| 1. QUALITY REQUIREMENTS |   |  |   | 30%       | 0.0%     |          |         |                            |                |
| TOTAL                   |   |  |   | 30%       | 0.0%     |          |         |                            |                |

The scoring of the Functional Evaluation is conducted as follows:  
A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows:  
0 - 0% - Does not meet  
1 - 50% - Partial meet (Large gap)  
2 - 75% - Partial Meet (Small gap)  
3 - 100% - Meet  
The score is then summed to a weighted average per category. The category scores are analysed as follows:  
0% - 79% - Does not meet  
80% - 100% - Meet

Compiled by: NU MPENGESI

Signature: 

Date04-06-2026